

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

**ARELIS TORRES,**  
**Plaintiff,**

**v.**

**GEICO INSURANCE CO.,**  
**Defendant.**

:  
: **CIVIL ACTION**  
:  
:  
: **No. 14-1000**  
:  
:  
:  
:

**ORDER**

**AND NOW**, this 27<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2014, upon consideration of defendant's motion to dismiss (Doc. No. 4) and any response thereto, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the defendant's motion is **GRANTED** and the complaint is **DISMISSED** without prejudice.<sup>1</sup>

BY THE COURT:

/s/Lawrence F. Stengel  
\_\_\_\_\_  
LAWRENCE F. STENGEL, J.

---

<sup>1</sup> Because the amended complaint is factually, not legally, deficient, a curative amendment would not necessarily be futile. *Phillips v. County of Allegheny*, 515 F.3d 224, 245-46 (3d Cir. 2008). The plaintiff is therefore granted leave to file an amended complaint within fourteen (14) days of this Memorandum and Order, if she can in good faith.